

Comment on “Gauge transformations are Canonical transformations”

Pathikrit Bhattacharya¹ and Bhabani Prasad Mandal²
 Department of Physics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005,
 INDIA.

Abstract

We comment on the work of Tai L Chow, Eur. J. Phys. 18, 467 (1997). By considering the Lagrangians which are uniquely defined only to within an additive total time derivative of a function of co-ordinates and time the author has tried to show that the gauge transformations which relate these Lagrangians are canonical transformations. He has obtained the right conclusion only by using wrong canonical equations and the entire exercise has hence become erroneous and inconclusive. By using the definition of canonical transformation through Poisson brackets we prove that the above gauge transformations are canonical transformations.

We refer here to the letter by Chow [1] in which the author has tried to show that the gauge transformations relating the Lagrangians differ by a total time derivative of arbitrary function of coordinate and time are in fact canonical. The approach however is misleading. The author has obtained the right conclusion only by using wrong canonical equations [see the last two equations of [1]] and the entire exercise has hence become erroneous and inconclusive. In this letter we give a complete proof that the gauge transformations are canonical transformations by using the definition of canonical transformations in terms of Poisson brackets.

¹pathikritb@gmail.com

²bhabani@bhu.ac.in ; bpm@bose.res.in

The transformation $(p_i, q_i) \longrightarrow (P_i, Q_i)$ is canonical iff the following Poisson Brackets are satisfied

$$[P_i, P_k]_{q,p} = 0 = [Q_i, Q_k]_{q,p}; \text{ \& } [Q_i, P_k]_{q,p} = \delta_{ik}. \quad (1)$$

To calculate these Poisson Brackets we consider the Hamiltonians corresponding to $L(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t)$ and $L'(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t)$ defined through Legendre transformations

$$\begin{aligned} H(p_i, q_i, t) &= \sum_j p_j \dot{q}_j - L(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t) \\ H'(P_i, Q_i, t) &= \sum_j P_j \dot{q}_j - L'(q_i, \dot{q}_i, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$P_i = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{q}_i} = p_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \frac{df}{dt}(q_i, t) = p_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} f(q_i, t)$$

and $Q_i = q_i$ as

$$\frac{df}{dt}(q_i, t) = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$$

Now we to show, the transformation $(p_i, q_i) \longrightarrow (P_i, Q_i)$ is canonical all we need to show that the transformed canonical variables, (P_i, Q_i) satisfy the Poisson brackets listed in Eq. (1).

$$[Q_i, Q_k]_{q,p} = \sum_j \left[\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial Q_k}{\partial q_j} \right] = 0 \quad (3)$$

as $\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [P_i, P_k]_{q,p} &= \sum_j \left[\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial q_j} \right] \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_k \partial q_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial q_i \partial q_k} \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and finally

$$[Q_i, P_k]_{q,p} = \sum_j \left[\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial P_k}{\partial q_j} \right] = \delta_{ik} \quad (5)$$

In conclusion, we have shown that the gauge transformations which connect the Lagrangians differ by a total time derivative of co-ordinate and time are canonical. We have pointed out that approach of ref. [1] is erroneous and inconclusive.

References

- [1] Tai L. Chow, Eur. J. Phys. **18**, 467 (1997).